

REMARKS

Claims 1 - 26 were presented for examination in this application. The above amendments are provided to correct errors and omissions in the claims. No new matter has been introduced.

Claims 1 and 14 are amended to claim only a method and apparatus, respectively, wherein the comparing function comprises examining data from complementary angles of reflection.


Independent claims 27 and 40 are directed to a method and apparatus, respectively, wherein the comparing function comprises "a model comparison of an asymmetric model." Support for this amendment is found throughout the specification; see, e.g., page 15, line 28 to page 16, line 8, and FIGS. 4, 5 and 13-17.

A check for additional claim fees is attached. Authorization is given to charge payment of any additional fees required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Acct. 13-4213. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed for accounting purposes.

In view of the amendments and arguments presented, it is believed that these claims are patentably distinguishable and nonobvious over the cited art and are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

PEACOCK, MYERS & ADAMS, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)
Post Office Box 26927
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87120-6927

By 
Stephen A. Skusher
Reg. No. 43,924

Telephone: (505) 998-1500
FAX (505) 243-2542

Customer No. 005179

G:\Brigitte\PAT\Accent-Optical-Raymond.Prel.Amend.Office Action Response BS 31162-1007.doc